

**Remarks/Arguments:**

Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are pending. Claims 3-7 and 10-17 are cancelled. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 have been amended. Support for the amendment to claim 1 may be found in originally-filed claims 1, 6 and 7 and in the specification on pages 29-31. Support for the amendment to claim 2 may be found in originally-filed claim 2 and in the specification on pages 31-32. Support for the amendments to claims 8 and 9 may be found in originally-filed claims 8 and 9, respectively. No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the remarks below and the amendments to the claims.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 1, 6 and 13- 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for allegedly having insufficient antecedent basis. Claims 6 and 13-17 have been cancelled. Claim 1, as amended, provides sufficient antecedent basis for the terms used therein. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of claims 1, 6 and 13- 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 be withdrawn.

**Claim Objections**

Claim 2 was objected to for an informality of allegedly having words without spaces there between. All the words in claim 2, as amended, include spaces there between. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 be withdrawn.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) for allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,647,417 to Hunter et al. ("Hunter"). Claim 1 includes a feature neither disclosed nor suggested by Hunter, namely, a requesting device that "stores said sent acquisition information in said recording medium."

Hunter describes a music distribution system that weaves an antipiracy tag into recorded music for tracing illegal copies (abstract). The music is blanket transmitted and "receivers store selections that are likely to be preferred by a specific customer" (abstract). The receivers selectively store certain music from the blanket transmitted music based where the selection is made based on those that may be desirable to a customer (col. 12, line 64 through col. 13, line 23).

Applicant's system sends conditional information for choosing content to a providing device. The providing device generates acquisition information for acquiring the content (page 29) and the acquisition information is sent to the requesting device and stored on a recording medium (page 30). The contents may then be downloaded in accordance with the details of the acquisition information (pages 31-32).

By storing the acquisition information on the recording medium, according to an embodiment of the invention, the recording medium may be inserted into a different requesting device and that different requesting device can use the stored acquisition information to download the corresponding contents. (page 40). In contrast, the Hunter system downloads music only from the system from which it was purchased.


Thus, claim 1 is not anticipated by Hunter at least because Hunter neither discloses nor suggests storing acquisition information on a recording device. In view of the amendments to claim 1 and the remarks above, Applicant respectfully requests therefore that the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. Section 102(e) be withdrawn. Claims 2, 8 and 9 depend from claim 1 and, thus, are likewise not subject to rejection for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 1. Claims 3-7 and 10-17 have been cancelled and are no longer subject to rejection. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests therefore that the rejection of claims 2-17 under 35 U.S.C. Section 102(e) be withdrawn.

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, the above-identified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 09/852,249  
Amendment Dated: December 22, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of: August 3, 2004

MTS-3256US

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel N. Calder, Reg. No. 27,424  
Christopher J. Dervishian, Reg. No. 42,480  
Attorneys for Applicant


DNC/dmw

Dated: December 22, 2004

P.O. Box 980  
Valley Forge, PA 19482  
(610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby  
authorized to charge payment to Deposit  
Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated  
with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited  
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail,  
with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to Mail  
Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box  
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 22, 2004.



Donna M. Wellings

CD\_I:\MTS\3256US\AMEND\_01.DOC